IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 20066 of 2004

Between:

B.Chennaiah, E-85389 Mechanic, S/o.Atchaiah
Tiruvuru Depot, R/o.Tiruvuru, Krishna District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The APSRTC,

rep., by its Managing Director,

Musheerabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Regional Manager,

APSRTC, Krishna Region,

Krishna District at Vijayawada.
3 The Depot Manager,

APSRTC, Tiruvuru Depot, Krishna District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents herein in not granting the selection grade scale stagnation increments to the petitioners on completion of 12 years service is illegal, unjust and arbitrary, contrary to well established principles of law and as such set aside the same by directing the respondents herein to add the stagnation increment to the petitioners on completion of 12 years of service w.e.f. 1-4-1991 irrespective of his transfer from the date of initial appointment and to pay the arrears by refixing the pay of the petitioner forthwith.

For the Petitioner: Mr. S.M.SUBHAN, Advocate

For the Respondents: Mr. R.MANMADHA REDDY(SC for APSRTC)

The Court at the admission stage made the following ORDER:

The petitioner is working as a Mechanic in the respondent-Corporation. He contends that he has been working continuously for more than 12 years. Therefore, he is entitled to get stagnation increments on completion of 12 years of service. The petitioner further contends that though he made representations for release of stagnation increments, his request was not considered. The petitioner, therefore, approached this Court seeking to declare that he is entitled for stagnation increments on completion of 12 years of service, which he had already completed long prior to the date of the Writ Petition.

A similar question arose for consideration in W.P.No.5726 of 2000 and it was also considered in Writ Appeal No.1301 of 2000 by a Division Bench of this Court which was preferred against the order in the above Writ Petition and the Division Bench held that the employees, who have completed 12 years of service in the same category irrespective of their transfers, are entitled for stagnation increments and accordingly directed to consider the cases of the petitioners in the Writ Petition No.5726 of 2000.

In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner and in the light of the judgment of the Division Bench in the above Writ Appeal, the respondents are directed to examine whether the petitioner completed the period of 12 years in the same category irrespective of his transfer and on satisfying that he completed 12 years of service; extend him the benefit of the stagnation increment and pass appropriate orders within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The Writ Petition is accordingly allowed.

_____

(Dr.G.YETHIRAJULU,J)

2nd November, 2004.

Tsy

To

1 The Managing Director,

APSRTC,

Musheerabad, Hyderabad.

2 The Regional Manager,

APSRTC, Krishna Region,

Krishna District at Vijayawada.

3 The Depot Manager,

APSRTC, Tiruvuru Depot, Krishna District.

4 Two CD Copies.